

NEW YORK, NY 10004

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/919,414 07/31/2001 Haruki Hiranuma S004-4358 4207 05/06/2005 **EXAMINER** ADAMS & WILKS MISKA, VIT W ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 31st FLOOR **50 BROADWAY** 2841

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | • |
|---|--|--|---|
| Office Action Summary | 09/919,414 | 09/919,414 HIRANUMA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Vit W. Miska | 2841 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet w | th the correspondence address | _ |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | , | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 03 February 2005. | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ | This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und | • | • | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15,18 and 19 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 4,12-15,18 and 19 is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,5 and 9-11 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2 and 6-8 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | ndrawn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa | miner. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ | accepted or b) □ objected to | by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | the drawing(s) be held in abeya | ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a | nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | pplication No received in this National Stage | |
| Attachmont/c\ | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview 9 | Summary (PTO-413) | |
| 2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 | s) Paper No(| s)/Mail Date | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 3/08) 5) | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

Application/Control Number: 09/919,414 Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1,3,5,10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Biver. Regarding claims 1,3,10 and 11, the reference discloses main body 1,2 housing a watch movement as shown, bezel 4 surrounding the display body, bayonet structure including first projections 8-10 defining recesses 30-32 on main body 1,2, second projections 11-13 defining corresponding recesses therebetween on the inner circumference of the bezel for removably mounting the bezel to body 1,2. The bezel is further rotatable unless locked by means 6. With respect to claim 5, Biver further discloses groove 21 for accommodating elastic member 22 urging bezel 4 away from main body 1,2.

Application/Control Number: 09/919,414

Art Unit: 2841

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biver, as

applied to claim 1, in further view of Eschle. . Biver further suggest placement of

decorative items on bezel 4 at col. 4, line 6. The Eschle reference teaches placement of

marks on a rotatable bezel including mark hole 12 for alignment with the watch case. It

would be obvious for one skilled in the art to provide such marks in the Biver reference

for aligning the bezel with the case.

3. Claims 2 and 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Claims 4, 12-15 and 18-19 allowed:

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vit W. Miska whose telephone number is 571-272-2108. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, K. Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vit Miska Primary Examiner

VM 5/2/205